

Quantum diffusion at high interstitial concentrations: Application to surface diffusion

G.L. Buchbinder and V.N. Shapov

Department of Physics, Omsk State University, Peace Avenue 55-a 644077, Omsk, Russia
(February 1, 2008)

In this work we first study the quantum diffusion in a volume of a crystalline solid at high interstitial concentrations when the effects of the short-range interactions between the diffusing particles are to be the factors. Within the scope of the small-polaron formalism the transition rate depending on both the temperature and the interstitial concentration has been calculated. Then, using the obtained result, we consider the model of surface diffusion that reproduces qualitatively the diffusion behaviour of the hydrogen isotopes on $W(110)$ surface, observed in the lowtemperature experiments [S.C. Wang and R. Gomer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **83**, 4193 (1985)]. The coverage dependence of the diffusion coefficient is determined by Monte Carlo simulation. This model allows to suppose that substantially the different diffusion of hydrogen (tritium) and deuterium can be the outcome of their different quantum statistic and the direct interactions between the adparticles.

PACS numbers:66.30.Jt, 68.35.Fx

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum diffusion both in volume of solids and on solid surface has been attracting considerable attention for the past several decades mainly due to extraordinary high mobility of interstitial hydrogen and its isotopes at low temperatures. Theoretical consideration of this problem rests on low concentration of interstitial particles. This allows to reduce diffusion processes to tunneling dynamics of single particle, moving in an effective potential and interacting with the solid environment, in particular, with phonon subsystem of the perfect lattice [1–5,7–23]. In different approaches interaction with environment is assumed to be either linear or bilinear with respect to phonon variables and considered within the scope of perturbation theory. As a result of tunneling a particle transfers from one interstitial site to an adjacent that. Near $T = 0$ corresponding motion is due to band-like propagation (coherent diffusion). At increased temperatures localization of a particle in a site occurs by interaction with phonons and the hopping regime is realized (incoherent diffusion). In a metal at very low temperatures the tunneling dynamics of particles proves to be strongly depending on nonadiabatic interaction with the conduction electrons [24–26].

To calculate the diffusion coefficient different approaches have been suggested to a certain extent using the above physical pictures. The review of some theoretical methods and subsequent generalizations are given in the papers [27,28].

At the finite impurity concentrations the interaction between diffusing particles can distort the low concentration picture. So, Kagan and Maksimov [7] have shown that when particle concentration exceeds some low critical value elastic interaction between particles at $T = 0$ results in suppression of the band motion and in complete particles localization.

At high interstitial concentrations the diffusion process is to be determined to a great extent by short-range interactions between diffusing particles [8]. An interesting example in this sense is the unusual diffusion of hydrogen isotopes on the (110) surface of tungsten at low temperatures revealed by DiFoggio and Gomer [29] and Wang and Gomer [30]. They have found that dependence of the diffusion coefficient D on coverage θ in all cases displays oscillator-like behaviour. However while D for hydrogen (and tritium) decreases at $\theta \rightarrow 1$, the diffusion coefficient for deuterium increases. This phenomena has not been explained up to now. Muttalib and Sethna [5] and Gomer [31] have supposed that it may be a consequence of the difference in quantum statistics of ^1H and ^2H . From our point of view a double effect takes place here: the existence of interaction between diffusing adatoms and difference in statistics.

In this work we first study quantum diffusion in a volume of a crystalline solids at high concentrations of interstitials, when the hopping regime is realized. Because of the large distortion of lattice the corresponding temperature region can extend to lowerest temperatures. We confine ourselves to a temperature region in which electronic degrees of freedom can be eliminated from consideration within of adiabatic approximation. Allowing for short-range interaction between interstitials, we find an expression for the transition rate depending on concentration. Then a model of surface diffusion, showing the same behaviour as it does for hydrogen and deuterium on $W(110)$, is considered. The Monte Carlo method has been utilized to simulate surface diffusion of adatoms and to calculate the coverage dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient. In conclusion on the basis of the considered model we discuss the reasons for difference in diffusion of ^1H and ^2H atoms on $W(110)$.

II. TRANSITION RATE

When considering the diffusion process in a crystal at a low interstitial concentration one proceeds from the supposition that the jumps are sufficiently rare events, so in the intervals between the jumps a thermodynamic equilibrium is reached and the system vibrates around a stable crystalline configuration. The same situation occurs at high concentrations. In this case the mobility of particles will be determined by their jumps over the vacant interstices, whose concentration is small. So, between the jumps in such a crystal thermodynamic equilibrium is also reached and a system will vibrate around the corresponding crystalline configuration.

Let the vibrational states of the system (host atoms plus interstitials) be described by the wavefunctions $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$, depending on the configuration of the occupied interstices $r = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots)$, with $\{n\}$ denoting the set of quantum numbers. $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$ take no account of the jumps and are the eigenfunctions of the some Hamiltonian $H_0 = T + U_0^{(r)}$.

For different configurations r and r' , $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$ and $\psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')}$ are localized in different regions of the configuration space and their overlap is small [6]. Considering these states as approximately ortogonal we can write down the transition rate as [32]

$$W = \langle W^{(rr')} \rangle^r, \quad (1)$$

$$W^{(rr')} = \left\langle \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{\{n'\}} |\langle \psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')} | H - H_0 | \psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)} \rangle|^2 \delta(E_{\{n'\}}^{(r')} - E_{\{n\}}^{(r)}) \right\rangle_{\{n\}}. \quad (2)$$

Here and further r and r' denote the initial and final interstitial configurations during the jump of an interstitial to the nearest adjacent site, $H = T + U$ is the exact Hamiltonian allowing for the jumps; $\langle \dots \rangle_{\{n\}}$, $\langle \dots \rangle^r$ are the thermal average over the initial states $\{n\}$ and the average over all possible initial configurations r , respectively; $E_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$ is the energy of the state $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$.

To calculate W let us introduce the following approximations.

(i) Taking into account that the overlap between $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$ and $\psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')}$ is small we can simplify the matrix element in Eq.(2), using approximation

$$\langle \psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')} | H - H_0 | \psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)} \rangle = \Delta\Phi^{(r)} \langle \psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')} | \psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)} \rangle. \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta\Phi^{(r)} = U - U_0^{(r)}$ is calculated at a point of the overlap range, corresponding to some "transition" configuration of interstitials r^* . In this configuration the jumping interstitial is situated in the middle of the way between the initial and the final sites during the jump. The positions of other particles for present remain not determined. It should be noted that, actually, the difference $U - U_0^{(r)}$ involves only degrees of freedom related to the jumping interstitial and its nearest neighbours and is notably distinguished from zero in some finite region of the crystal.

(ii) By occupying some interstice an interstitial interacts with the neighbouring host atoms. The additional force repulsion from the nearest interstitials must result in the sizable localization in sites even of such light particles as hydrogen. In this case one can approximate the states $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)}$ by the eigenfunctions $H_0^{(r)}$, taken in the harmonic approximation

$$H_0^{(r)} = \Phi_0^{(r)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k m_k \dot{x}_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \Phi_{kl}^{(r)} (x_k - x_{k0}^{(r)})(x_l - x_{l0}^{(r)}). \quad (4)$$

Here $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3N}$ are the coordinates of all N particles of the system, $x_{k0}^{(r)}$ are the equilibrium positions of particles for the configuration r ; $\Phi_{kl}^{(r)}$ are the force constants and $\Phi_0^{(r)}$ is the potential energy of the system at the equilibrium position; $m_k = m$ is the host atom mass, if x_k relates to the lattice coordinates and $m_k = M$ is the interstitial mass, if x_k relates to the interstitial degrees of freedom.

It is known [33] that when the volume of the crystal $V \rightarrow \infty$, any additive quantity $V^{-1}A^{(r)}$, due to the spatial homogeneity of the system, has the determinate limit A_0 not depending on r , i.e.

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} V^{-1}A^{(r)} = \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \langle V^{-1}A^{(r)} \rangle^r = A_0. \quad (5)$$

As $\Phi_0^{(r)}$ has the property of "self-averaging" (5), taking a sufficiently large volume V , one will has to any degree of accuracy

$$\Phi_0^{(r)} = V\Phi_0.$$

Further we shall take $\Phi_0 = 0$.

Proceeding to the normal-mode coordinates $q_k^{(r)}$, one writes down Hamiltonian (4) as

$$H_0^{(r)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s m \dot{q}_s^{(r)2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s m \omega_s^2 q_s^{(r)2}. \quad (6)$$

Here we have also allowed for the density of the vibrational states (distribution function of the frequencies of the normal modes) $\nu^{(r)}(\omega)$ has the property (5), i.e

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \nu^{(r)}(\omega) = \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu^{(r)}(\omega) \rangle^r = \nu(\omega, c), \quad (7)$$

where c is the interstitial concentration (the number of the interstitials divided by the number of the interstices). Thus, for a large enough crystal the frequency spectrum does not practically depend on the configuration of the occupied interstices r .

In the paper [32] it has been demonstrated that the overlap integral between the eigenfunctions of Hamiltonian (6), considered in the configuration space, one can represent as

$$\langle \psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')} | \psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)} \rangle = \prod_k \int dq_k \varphi_{n_k}(q_k + b_k^{(rr')}) \varphi_{n'_k}(q_k), \quad (8)$$

where $\varphi_n(q_k)$ are the normalized harmonic oscillator eigenfunctions with the frequency ω_k and the mass m and

$$|b_k^{(rr')}| = a(M/m)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\alpha_{sk}^{(r)}| \sim a(M/m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha(M/m) / \sqrt{3N}.$$

Here $(M/m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_{sk}^{(r)}$ is the matrix connecting the displacement $x_s - x_{s0}^{(r)}$ with the normal coordinates $q_k^{(r)}$; a is the jumping distance, $\alpha(M/m)$ is some dimensionless function and the interstitial is assumed to hop along the x_s direction, i.e. $|x_{s0}^{(r)} - x_{s0}^{(r')}| = a$.

The matrix elements in (8) are proportional to the factor $J = e^{-\lambda}$, where $\lambda \sim (a^2 / \langle u_i^2 \rangle) \gg 1$ and $\langle u_i^2 \rangle$ is the mean square of the displacements of the zero vibrations interstitials.

Allowing for approximation (3) and inserting (8) into (2) one can calculate $W^{(rr')}$ employing the small-polaron formalism [34]. Taking limits $N \rightarrow \infty$, $V \rightarrow \infty$ and allowing for the fact that $\Delta\Phi^{(r)}$ does not depend on either N or V , one obtains [35]

$$W^{(rr')} = \frac{|\Delta\Phi^{(r)}|^2}{\hbar^2} e^{-S_T(c)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt [e^{G(t;c)} - 1], \quad (9)$$

where

$$S_T(c) = \frac{\alpha^2 a^2 M}{2\hbar} \int d\omega \nu(\omega, c) \omega \coth \frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T},$$

$$G(t; c) = \frac{\alpha^2 a^2 M}{2\hbar} \int d\omega \nu(\omega, c) \omega \csc \frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T} \cos \omega t.$$

Now the averaging over the different configurations r in Eq.(9) is to be carried out in the finite region where $\Delta\Phi^{(r)}$ is notably distinguished from zero. To carry out the averaging, one notes that in the first approximation $\Delta\Phi^{(r)}$ is an anharmonic contribution in the potential energy of an interaction between the jumping particle, localized in the middle of the way between the initial and the final sites during the jump, and its nearest environment. Let $n_k^{(r)}$ be the number of k -th nearest interstitials of this particles, corresponding configuration r . Then one can write down

$$\Delta\Phi^{(r)} = \Delta\Phi + \sum_k n_k^{(r)} V_k^{(2)}, \quad (10)$$

where $\Delta\Phi$ is the contribution of the host atoms to $\Delta\Phi^{(r)}$ and $V_k^{(2)}$ is the contribution of two-body interactions between the jumping particle and one of its k -th neighbours among of the interstitials.

The Eq.(10) shows that $\Delta\Phi^{(r)}$ depends only on occupation numbers $n_k^{(r)}$ rather than on placing of interstitials over equivalent interstices. It is easy to see that the averaging $|\Delta\Phi^{(r)}|^2$ over the different configurations is equivalent to averaging of the numbers $n_k^{(r)}$ over the binomial distribution with the probability $p = c$ that the giving interstice is occupied and the probability of the contrary event $q = 1 - c$, independly for every k . The random variables $n_k^{(r)}$ take the following values $n_k^{(r)} = 0, 1, 2 \dots \bar{n}_k$, where $\bar{n}_k$ is the maximum possible number of k -th neighbours among of the interstitials.

In addition let there exist also three-body interactions between interstitials, for example, with the participation of the first two neighbours of the jumping particle. If $V_1^{(3)}$ is the average contribution to $\Delta\Phi^{(r)}$ from each one of such three-body configurations the one can write

$$\Delta\Phi^{(r)} = \Delta\Phi + \sum_k n_k^{(r)} V_k^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} n_1^{(r)} (n_1^{(r)} - 1) V_1^{(3)} + \dots, \quad (11)$$

where dots denote the contributions from thre-body interactions with the particuipation of the following neighbours and also the possible contributions from n -body interactions with $n > 3$.

The Eq.(11) depends on the occupation numbers only and its square has the following form

$$|\Delta\Phi^{(r)}|^2 = |\Delta\Phi|^2 \left(1 + \sum_i b_i n_i^{(r)} + \sum_{ij} b_{ij} n_i^{(r)} n_j^{(r)} + \sum_{ijk} b_{ijk} n_i^{(r)} n_j^{(r)} n_k^{(r)} + \dots \right), \quad (12)$$

where $b_i, b_{ij}, b_{ijk}, \dots$ are the known dimensionless coefficients.

The Eq.(12) can be averaged over the configurations as it has been indicated above. Taking into account that the average $\langle n^l \rangle$, where n are the occupation numbers of the interstices, over the binomial distribution is the polinomial in $p = c$ of degree l , one obtains the transition rate $W = \langle W^{(rr')} \rangle^r$

$$W(T, c) = Q_{2n-2}(c) W_0(T, c), \quad (13)$$

where

$$W_0(T, c) = \frac{|\Delta\Phi|^2}{\hbar^2} e^{-S_T(c)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt [e^{G(t;c)} - 1],$$

$$Q_{2n-2}(c) = 1 + a_1 c + a_2 c^2 + \dots + a_{2n-2} c^{2n-2}. \quad (14)$$

Index n in Eq.(14) indicates that the interstitial's potential energy contains n -body potentials as well.

III. MODEL OF QUANTUM DIFFUSION ON SOLID SURFACE

The expression for transition rate obtained in the preceding section can be applied to the study of the diffusion on solid surface without any restrictions. In this section we shall consider a model system, in which depending on parameters of the model, surface diffusion of the particles exhibits the same behaviour as the diffusion of hydrogen and deuterium on W(110) [29,30]. First we calculate the frequency spectrum of the defective crystal to find W from Eq.(13), employing Dean's direct numerical method [36,37]. Then the coverage dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient is determined by Monte Carlo simulations on a fixed two-dimensional lattice.

A. Frequency spectrum

We use a model in which the solid surface is represented by the cubic lattice of size $n \times l \times 3$, consisting of three layers, with regular sites occupied by substrate atoms of mass m . The adsorbed particles of mass M and with desired coverage θ are localized in the interstices of the crystal lattice ranging in the upper layer. In our model the

particles are connected to their nearest neighbours by central and noncentral harmonic springs. This choice affords the simplification that the motions in each cartesian direction are independent [36,37].

In this section we denote the displacement of the i -th particle in x -direction as x_i . The enumeration of the particles as well as the force constants connecting the nearest neighbours are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, where the notation is introduced

$$\begin{aligned} [s] &= n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_{s-1} + 2(s-1)n, & 1 < s < l+1, \\ [1] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The difference $p_s = n_s - n$ is the number of the interstitials localized in the interstices of the s -th vertical column (along y -direction).

Let k_{ij} be the harmonic force constant connecting the nearest neighbours i and j . Then the equation of motion for i -th particle can be written as

$$m_i \ddot{x}_i = \sum_j' k_{ij} (x_j - x_i), \quad k_{ij} = k_{ji}. \quad (15)$$

The prime at the sum denotes the omission of the term with $j = i$. In addition the periodic boundary conditions were imposed in y -direction and rigid wall boundary conditions in the x -direction. For simplicity it has been assumed that the adparticles and substrate atoms of the lower layer do not interact. Thus there exist the lateral interactions for adparticles only.

Allowing for $\ddot{x}_i = -\omega^2 x_i$ and introducing the notations $u_i = m_i^{1/2} x_i$, $\bar{\omega}^2 = \omega^2 / \omega_0^2$, $\omega_0^2 = 4k/m$, one has instead of (15)

$$\bar{\omega}^2 u_i = \alpha_i u_i + \sum_j' \beta_{ij} u_j, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \frac{m}{m_i} \sum_j' \frac{k_{ij}}{k}; \quad \beta_{ij} = -\frac{m}{4k} \frac{k_{ij}}{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}.$$

The system of the equations (16) is equivalent to the eigenvalue problem

$$\mathbf{M}^{(r)} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \bar{\omega}^2 \mathbf{u} \quad (17)$$

for the symmetric matrix $\mathbf{M}^{(r)}$ of order $N \times N$, depending on the configuration of the occupied interstices and having a block tridiagonal form

$$\mathbf{M}^{(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{B}_2 & & & 0 \\ \mathbf{B}_2^T & \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{B}_3 & & \\ & \mathbf{B}_3^T & \mathbf{A}_3 & \mathbf{B}_4 & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & & & & \mathbf{B}_l^T & \mathbf{A}_l \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Here $\mathbf{B}_s^T$ is the transpose of $\mathbf{B}_s$. The explicit forms of submatrices $\mathbf{A}_s$ and $\mathbf{B}_s$ are given in the Appendix.

To calculate the spectrum of eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{M}^{(r)}$ we use Dean's direct numerical method. Originally it was applied for finding the frequency spectrum of the disordered lattices with substitution impurities and has been discussed in detail in Refs. [36,37]. Here only the main points are outlined.

First one finds the integrated frequency spectrum $N(\bar{\omega}^2)$ which gives the number of the squared frequencies less than some $\bar{\omega}^2$. If $\eta(\mathbf{X})$ denotes the number of negative eigenvalues of a matrix $\mathbf{X}$, then $N(\bar{\omega}^2) = \eta(\mathbf{M}^{(r)} - \bar{\omega}^2 \mathbf{I})$ and $\mathbf{I}$ is the unit matrix. Calculation of $\eta(\mathbf{X})$, where $\mathbf{X}$ is the matrix of form (18) is based on the negative eigenvalue theorem by Dean and Martin [36]. In the next step the squared frequency distribution function $G(\bar{\omega}^2)$ is determined by

$$G(\bar{\omega}^2) = \frac{N(\bar{\omega}^2 + d\bar{\omega}^2) - N(\bar{\omega}^2)}{d\bar{\omega}^2 N}$$

After $G(\bar{\omega}^2)$ has been found the frequency spectrum $\nu(\omega) = 2\omega G(\bar{\omega}^2)/\omega_0^2$ is calculated. The system's sizes are picked so that $\nu(\omega)$ depends only on a coverage θ rather than the configuration of the occupied interstices.

To calculate the frequency spectrum we consider the lattice containing $30 \times 30 \times 3$ regular sites. The adparticles are distributed at random on interstices with the given probability θ . At the picked lattice's size the frequency spectrum practically does not depend on particular adparticle configuration. The following ratios between the force constants have been taken: $k_1/k = k_2/k = 1$, $k_3/k = 8$, $k_4/k = 9$. The mass ratio for a substrate atom and adatom has been taken the same as for system $^1\text{H}/\text{W}$, $^2\text{H}/\text{W}$. The calculations have been carried out at different coverages from the region $0.4 \leq \theta \leq 0.9$ and showed the insignificant variation of the distribution function $G(\bar{\omega}^2)$ at the increase of θ in both cases. The typical histograms for $G(\bar{\omega}^2)$ are showed in Figs. 3 and 4.

B. Monte Carlo simulation of surface diffusion

For simulation of the diffusion process on the surface we consider lattice gas of the N adsorbed particles occupying at the regular sites of $L \times L$ square lattice. In our model Hamiltonian of the system has been taken in the form allowing for two and three-body interactions between adatoms

$$H = J_1 \sum_{(NN)} c_i c_j + J_2 \sum_{(NNN)} c_i c_j + J_t \sum_{(t)} c_i c_j c_k. \quad (19)$$

Here $J_1 > 0$ and $J_2 < 0$ are the nearest (NN) and next - nearest neighbour (NNN) interaction energies, $J_t > 0$ is three - body interaction energy (t). Corresponding adatom configurations are shown in Fig. 5. The positive constant $J_n > 0$ corresponds to repulsion. c_i is the occupation number of site i and takes the value 1 and 0 for full and empty site respectively. Double occupancy of sites is excluded.

Within the scope of the random walk theory the tracer diffusion coefficient for the twodimensional system is defined as

$$D^* = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4Nt} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \Delta r_i^2(t) \rangle, \quad (20)$$

where t is the elapsed time and $\langle \Delta r_i^2(t) \rangle$ is the mean-square displacement of the i -th particle.

To calculate D^* Monte Carlo simulation of surface diffusion has been carried out in the canonical ensemble applying the Metropolis importance sampling [38]. The actual procedure was the following. An initial adatom configuration corresponding to the desired adatom coverage θ was given by the random pick of θL^2 sites. Then the temperature T was established and employing the standard procedure a large number of Monte Carlo steps (MCS) were performed to reach the thermodynamic equilibrium. The equilibrium was assumed to be reached when the total energy of the system started to fluctuate about its average value. Typically in a lowtemperature region about 10^3 MCS's are required to establish equilibrium in the lattice containing 50×50 sites at $0.4 < \theta < 0.9$ for $J_1 = 2$ kcal/mol, $J_2 = -1.5$ kcal/mol, $J_t = 1$ kcal/mol.

After thermodynamic equilibrium had been established a diffusion run was started. Here we follow the algorithm employed in Refs. [39,40]. The elementary step of surface diffusion is assumed to be a jump of an adsorbed particle from the occupied initial site to an empty nearest neighbour one. First of all, the initial site of lattice is picked at random, if filled, an adjacent final site is randomly chosen. If this site is empty the jump can occur with some probability P_J , otherwise no jump occurs and a new initial site is picked. One MCS corresponds to L^2 the random picks of the initial site for the jump.

The time scale is defined by the span Δt in which an adatom is allowed to attempt a jump to an adjacent site. If the mean adatom's lifetime in a site is $\tau = 1/W$, where W is given by Eq.(13), the probability of a jump during Δt one can write as

$$P_J = \frac{\Delta t}{\tau}.$$

In order to reduce computational time we have taken $\Delta t = 1/W_{max}$ with $W_{max} = \max W(T, \theta)$ in the region of values T and θ we are interested in. Thus the jump probability is given by

$$P_J = \frac{W(T, \theta)}{W_{max}}. \quad (21)$$

The choice of (21) means that when $W = W_{max}$ the jump to the nearest vacant site occurs with the unity probability.

To calculate $W = Q_{2n-2}W_0$ we have used the frequency spectrum obtained in the preceding section and have taken $n = 3$ that corresponds to the existence of pairwise and triplet interactions of adatoms. To reproduce the behaviour of hydrogen isotopes in the diffusion experiments we consider two model expressions for Q_4

$$Q_4^{(1)}(\theta) = 1 - 0.6\theta + 6.1\theta^2 - 11.8\theta^3 + 5.7\theta^4 \quad (22)$$

that corresponds to ^1H and

$$Q_4^{(2)}(\theta) = 1 - 9.9\theta + 62.2\theta^2 - 122.1\theta^3 + 73.6\theta^4 \quad (23)$$

for ^2H . Their behaviour is shown on Fig. 6. The shape of the curves are similar but while the minimum of $Q_4^{(2)}$ is located in the range $\theta < 1$ the minimum of $Q_4^{(1)}$ is displaced into the range $\theta > 1$. The coefficients of the polynomials are chosen in such way that the functions $Q_4^{(i)}$ should have the given values at the points of extremum. The remaining indeterminacy in the coefficients practically does not affect the behaviour of D^* .

To calculate the diffusion coefficient D^* diffusion runs of 1000 MCS's for 40 different initial adatom configurations were performed. The results of the calculation of coverage dependence of D^* at 40K and $\alpha = 1$ (see Eq.(9)) are presented on Fig. 7. Figs. 8 and 9 show the temperature dependence of D^* for the different coverages.

IV. DISCUSSION

In this work we have considered quantum diffusion in a crystalline solid at high interstitial concentrations. Our main result is the equation (13) for the transition rate taking into account both the temperature and the concentration dependences. Further we have studied the model system imitating quantum diffusion of adatoms on the solid surface. The diffusion behaviour found in this model can be used for qualitative explanation of the experimental situation revealed in diffusion of hydrogen isotopes on $W(110)$ surface [29,30].

It is known that the value measured in an experiment is the chemical diffusion coefficient D , given by Kubo-Green formula. For qualitative discussion we can make use the approximate expression [31]

$$D \sim \frac{\langle N \rangle}{\langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle} D^*,$$

where $\langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle / \langle N \rangle$ is the normalized mean-square fluctuations of the adatom's number. In the region of low temperatures and high coverages the thermodynamic factor $\langle N \rangle / \langle (\delta N)^2 \rangle$ is insignificantly altered, so the behaviour of D is mainly determined by the tracer diffusion coefficient D^* whose coverage dependence is shown on Fig. 7. Qualitatively the curves presented on this figure show the same behaviour as the experimental curves for ^1H and ^2H from Ref. [30].

The coverage dependence of D^* is mainly determined by the polynomial $Q_4(\theta)$. The choice of $Q_4 = Q_4^{(1)}$ corresponds to ^1H diffusion and $Q_4 = Q_4^{(2)}$ to ^2H diffusion. As the coefficients of $Q_4 = \langle |\Delta\Phi^{(r)}|^2 \rangle^r$ depend on "transition" configuration r^* , the difference between $Q_4 = Q_4^{(1)}$ and $Q_4 = Q_4^{(2)}$ indicates that these configurations for ^1H and ^2H are to be different. Indeed, the configuration r^* for the present is not exactly defined. The additional condition can consist in giving of the distance R between the neighbouring adatoms involved in the diffusion jump. It is natural to take R equal to the average distance between the adatoms in the states describing particle's transition to the neighbouring site. The corresponding wavefunctions are to allow for a symmetry in the permutations of particles. Owing to the difference in the statistics the close approaches are more likely for bosons (deuterium) than for fermions (hydrogen and tritium). So during the jump the average distance between ^1H atoms turns out more than for ^2H . As a result the configurations r^* shall be different in these two cases.

Further, the coefficients of Q_4 are determined by the potentials of interactions between the diffusing particles. When the distance between particles is small the interaction's potentials can take very large value. Then it follows from the above that the coefficients of $Q_4^{(2)}$ for deuterium are to be more (in magnitude) than the coefficients of $Q_4^{(1)}$ for hydrogen. As a result polynomial $Q_4^{(2)}$ is rapidly altered and starts to rise at $\theta \rightarrow 1$ as it is shown on Fig.6. The diffusion coefficient for deuterium demonstrates the same behaviour.

Finally we can imagine diffusion motion of ^1H and ^2H as follows. Approaching closely ^2H atoms suffer the pronounced repulsions that results in the acceleration of the diffusion process. The decisive contribution to the observed diffusion behaviour at the high coverages comes from three (or more)-body interactions, whose potential, is probably, rapidly vanishing with increasing distances between particles. So mainly ^2H atoms acquire the pronounced energies in the three-body interactions. It is the existence of three-body interactions that dominates the rise of D for ^2H at $\theta \rightarrow 1$. At the diminished coverages the diffusion motion is mainly dominated by the two-body interaction between particles and results in the same behaviour of D for ^1H and ^2H as it has been revealed in the experiment.

APPENDIX:

The submatrices $\mathbf{A}_s$ and $\mathbf{B}_s$ from Eq.(18) have the block form

$$\mathbf{A}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}'_s & \mathbf{C}_s & 0 \\ \mathbf{C}_s^T & \mathbf{G}_1 & \mathbf{C} \\ 0 & \mathbf{C}^T & \mathbf{G}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\mathbf{B}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{B}'_s & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The form of the submatrices from (A1) and (A2) strongly depends on the configuration of the occupied interstices, so here we shall show their structure only.

$\mathbf{A}_s$ is a square matrix of five diagonal banded form with two additional elements in the upper right and lower left corners

$$\mathbf{A}'_s = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{[s]+1} & \beta_{[s]+2} & \gamma_{[s]+3} & & & \beta \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \beta & & & \gamma_{[s]+n_s} & \beta_{[s]+n_s} & \alpha_{[s]+n_s} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta = -k_1/4k$, $\beta_i = \beta_{i-1,i}$, $\gamma_i = \beta_{i-2,i}$.

$\mathbf{C}_s$ is a matrix of order $n_s \times n$. Its nonzero elements are on p_s diagonals

$$\mathbf{C}_s = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11}^{(s)} & & & & & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ c_{p_s 1}^{(s)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & c_{nn}^{(s)} \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & & & & & c_{n_s n}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_{ij}^{(s)} = \beta_{[s]+i,[s]+n_s+j}$.

The matrix G_i ($i = 1, 2$) is of tridiagonal form, of order $n \times n$ with additional elements in the upper right and lower left corners.

$$\mathbf{G}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha}_i & \beta & & & \beta \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha}_i & \beta & & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \beta \\ \beta & & & & \beta & \bar{\alpha}_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

If the index s does not relate to the side i.e. ($s \neq 1, l$), then

$$\bar{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{2k}(k_1 + k_2 + k), \quad \bar{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{2k}(k_1 + \frac{k_2}{2} + k).$$

On sides $\bar{\alpha}_i$ are to be altered insignificantly.

The matrix $\mathbf{C} = -(k_2/4k)\mathbf{I}$ is of order $n \times n$. The matrix $\mathbf{B}'_s$ is of order $n_s \times n_{s+1}$. Its nonzero elements are on $p_s + p_{s+1}$ diagonals.

$$\mathbf{B}'_s = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11}^{(s)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \beta_{1p_{s+1}}^{(s)} & & & & & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \beta_{p_s 1}^{(s)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \beta_{nn_{s+1}}^{(s)} \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & & & \beta_{n_s n}^{(s)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \beta_{n_s n_{s+1}}^{(s)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta_{ij}^{(s)} = \beta_{[s]+i, [s+1]+j}$.

Finally $\mathbf{B} = (1/4)\mathbf{I}$ is the matrix of order $n \times n$.

- [1] C.P. Flynn and A.M.Stoneham, Phys. Rev. **B1**, 3966 (1970).
- [2] Yu. Kagan and M.I. Klinger, J. Phys. **C7**, 2791 (1973).
- [3] T. McMullen and B. Bergersen, Sol. State. Com. **28**, 31 (1978).
- [4] M.D. Miller, Surf. Sci. **127**, 383 (1983).
- [5] K.A. Muttalib and J. Sethna, Phys. Rev. **B32**, 3462 (1985).
- [6] The integral of product $\psi_{\{n\}}^{(r)} \psi_{\{n'\}}^{(r')}$ corresponds in the lowconcentration pictures to tunneling matrix element dressed by the overlap of lattice wavefunctions.
- [7] Yu. Kagan and L.A. Maksimov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **84**, 792 (1983) [Sov. Phys. JETP **57**, 459 (1983)].
- [8] Y. Fukai and H. Sugimoto, Adv. Phys. **34**, 263 (1985).
- [9] Yu. Kagan and Prokof'ev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **90**, 2176 (1986) [Sov. Phys. JETP **63**, 1276 (1986)].
- [10] M.J. Gillan, J. Phys. C : Solid State Phys. **20**, 3621 (1987).
- [11] M.J. Gillan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 563 (1987).
- [12] Yu. Kagan and N.V. Prokof'ev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **96**, 2209 (1989) [Sov. Phys. JETP **69**, 1350 (1989)].
- [13] I.C. da Cunha Lima, A. Tropper and S.C. Ying, Phys. Rev. **41**, 11798 (1990).
- [14] P. Vargas, L. Marinda, L. Rodriguez, M. Lagos and J. Rogan, J. Less-Common. Met. **172-174**, 557 (1991).
- [15] H. Teichler, J. Less-Common. Met. **172-174**, 548 (1991).
- [16] A.I. Morozov and A.S. Sigov, Sol. Stat. Phys. (St. Petersburg) **37**, 691 (1995).
- [17] T.R. Mattsson and G. Wahnström, Phys. Rev. **B51**, 1885 (1995).
- [18] T.R. Mattsson and G. Wahnström, Phys. Rev. **B56**, 1 (1997).
- [19] M. Azzouz, H. J. Kreuzer and M.R.A. Shegelski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1477 (1998).
- [20] R. Baer, Y. Zeiri and R. Kosloff, Surf. Sci. **411**, L783 (1998).
- [21] V.G. Storchak and N.V. Prokof'ev, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 929 (1998).
- [22] A. Auerbach, K.F. Freed and R. Gomer, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 2356 (1987).
- [23] X.D. Zhu and L. Deng, Phys. Rev. **B48**, 17527 (1993).
- [24] J. Kondo, Physica **125B**, 279 (1984).
- [25] J. Kondo, Physica **126B**, 377 (1984).
- [26] K. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **55**, 2783 (1986).
- [27] H. Grabert and H. R. Schober, in *Hydrogen in Metals III*, ed. by H. Wipf (Springer, Heidelberg) (1997).
- [28] H.R. Schober and A.M. Stoneham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2307 (1988).
- [29] R. DiFoggio and R. Gomer, Phys. Rev. **B25**, 3490 (1982).
- [30] S.C. Wang and R. Gomer, J. Chem. Phys. **83**, 4193 (1985).
- [31] R. Gomer, Rep. Prog. Phys. **53**, 917 (1990).
- [32] G.L. Buchbinder, Phys. Lett. **A209**, 113 (1995).
- [33] I.M. Lifshitz, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **83**, 617 (1964) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **7**, 549 (1965)].
- [34] H. Holstein, Ann. Phys. **8**, 343 (1959).
- [35] Calculation goes along the lines as in Ref. [32]

- [36] P. Dean, Rev. Mod. Phys. **44**, 127 (1972).
- [37] P. Dean and M. D. Bacan, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A483**, 64 (1965).
- [38] K. Binder, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60**, 487 (1997).
- [39] M. Tringides and R. Gomer, Surf. Sci. **145**, 121 (1984).
- [40] C. Uebing and R. Gomer, J. Chem. Phys. **95**, 7626 (1991).

FIG. 1. Enumeration of the particles on the crystalline surface. The harmonic force constants connecting the nearest neighbours are showed by arrows.

FIG. 2. Enumeration of the particles in the layers perpendicular to the surface. k_2 is the force constant connecting the substrate atoms of upper and lower layers.

FIG. 3. Squared frequency spectrum for $30 \times 30 \times 3$ lattice at $\theta = 0.7$, corresponding to $^1\text{H}/\text{W}$ system.

FIG. 4. Squared frequency spectrum for $30 \times 30 \times 3$ lattice at $\theta = 0.7$, corresponding to $^2\text{H}/\text{W}$ system.

FIG. 5. Schematic representation of the interaction constants.

FIG. 6. Coverage dependences of $Q_4^{(1)}$ and $Q_4^{(2)}$.

FIG. 7. Coverage dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient D^* at 40K for $J_1 = 2$ kcal/mol, $J_2 = -1, 5$ kcal/mol, $J_t = 1$ kcal/mol; $\square$ corresponds to $^1\text{H}/\text{W}$ system, $\circ$ to $^2\text{H}/\text{W}$ system.

FIG. 8. The temperature dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient D^* , corresponding to $^1\text{H}/\text{W}$ system, at the different coverages.

FIG. 9. The temperature dependence of the tracer diffusion coefficient D^* , corresponding to $^2\text{H}/\text{W}$ system, at the different coverages.